# STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA NATURAL RESOURCES AGENCY DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES DIVISION OF SAFETY OF DAMS

# INSPECTION OF DAM AND RESERVOIR IN CERTIFIED STATUS

<u> </u>			0 0	, and the second	VOIR IIV OLIVII	0	17(100		
Name of Da	am Phoe	enix Lake			Dam No	33-3	County	Mari	n
Type of Dar		ERT		Type of Spillway			veir and chu	te	
Water is	1.6	feet	above	the spillway crest and	13.6	feet	below	the dam c	rest.
Weather Co	onditions	Moderate	to heavy	rain					
Contacts M				nard, Carl Sanders,	and Ronnie Chas	teen du	ring the insp	ection	
Reason for	Inspection_	Periodic	Evaluatio	1					
<u>Importan</u>	t Observa	tions, Re	commen	dations or Actions	<u>Taken</u>				
of both do the emba	ownstream	groins, ar reminded	nd repairs Mr. Sand	nspection report, sev are required to fill the ers and Ms. Croy of ical.	ne gullies to minin	nize or p	prevent futur	e damage	
Conclusi	ons								
From the for continu		rmation a	nd visual	inspection, the dam,	, reservoir, and th	e appur	tenances ar	e judged s	safe
Observat	tions and	Commen	ts						
<u>Dam</u>	satisfactor gullies occ gullies to	ry condition cupy the lominimize of the contract of the contra	on, with no ower porti or preven	tream face, crest, do o indication of deep s ions of both downstro t future damage to th and implement a plan	seated distress or eam groins, and r ne embankment.	instabi epairs a I remine	lity. Several are required ded Mr. Sand	deep eros to fill the ders and l	sion VIs.
	scattered	across the waterline	e downstr should al	ly satisfactory, but en eam face should be so be cleared, and o proin.	removed later in	the sea	son. Tule ar	nd cattails	
	Similar to rodent act	•	•	ions rodent control r d.	emains satisfacto	ory and t	few to no ind	lications o	f
Spillway	The spillway control section and exit channel were clear and unobstructed; the entrance is occupied with dormant cattails which are cleared each fall in anticipation of the winter storm season. The spillway was flowing approximately 1.6 feet deep across the entrance weir. Flow within the spillway chute appeared normal, and there was nothing to suggest a significant flaw within the concrete spillway channel. A small rock fall has occurred within the lower left abutment just below the concrete spillway channel outfall; the rock fall did no damage to the spillway channel.								
	The current design storm, prepared in 1984, is for a 35,000 year return period producing 2662 cfs (~1210 cfs / sq mi) from the 2.2 square mile drainage area. The spillway capacity is ~3970 cfs which is greater than the peak inflow. Total freeboard is 15.2 feet and the residual freeboard for the design storm is 3.4 feet. Freeboard is satisfactory.								
								/3	CD 201
Photos take	_	X No wner/Book			Inspected by Date of Inspection Date of Report	on	J. Lowe 8 Februar 13 Februar		Sung
DWR 1261 (	(Rev. 10/09)						Sheet1	of	5 2/21/1

Name of Dam	Phoenix Lake	Dam No	33.3	
		Date of Inspection	8 February 2017	

#### **Observations and Comments**

## <u>Outlet</u>

Plans show the normally unpressurized outlet to consist of a cast iron pipe with a concrete cap. While most of the cap is unreinforced, a short section of the cap near the upstream end of the outlet is reinforced. Upstream outlet control is provided by two vertically mounted hydraulically operated 20-inch sluice gates; a 30-inch diameter butterfly valve provides downstream control.

Both upstream controls were partially cycled, and appear to be operating normally; the downstream control butterfly valve was not cycled. All upstream and downstream outlet controls were partially cycled during the 7 April 2016 inspection, and all were found to be in satisfactory operating condition at that time. All outlet controls were fully cycled during the 5 March 2015 inspection.

#### Seepage

Rainfall prevented evaluation for minor seepage. No evidence of significant seepage was observed within the downstream face, groins, or abutments.

## <u>Instr.</u>

Instrumentation consists of the following:

- Ten (10) operable piezometers designated 5-1, 5-2, 6-1, 6-2, 7, 7A, B-3, B-4, B-5, and B-6, installed to monitor the phreatic surface within the embankment. Piezometers are monitored monthly.
- Three (3) crest survey monuments (M-3, M-4 and M-5) installed to monitor settlement and/or displacement of the crest. Monuments are monitored on a roughly five year basis.
- Two (2) crack meters installed to monitor vertical and horizontal displacement of a crack within the raised portion of the concrete spillway chute.

The complete piezometer history is somewhat complicated and is not covered in this report. A detailed review of the piezometer history is presented in the April 25, 2013 inspection report. Since the 2013 inspection four additional piezometers, designated B-3, B-4, B-5, and B-6, were installed in November of 2014.

The latest instrumentation data was received from the owner on December 16, 2015, and no new data has been received since that time. The last instrumentation review is presented in the 7 April 2016 inspection report, and is not repeated here; I direct the reader to the earlier report for a detailed explanation of the instrumentation monitoring the dam, and the performance of the dam as reflected in the 16 December 2015 submittal. The conclusion of the April 2016 review was that, "Based on the data submitted the dam appears to be performing satisfactorily, and no additional instrumentation is believed necessary at this time".

SHEEL Z DI J	Sheet	2	of	5
--------------	-------	---	----	---

Name of Dam Phoenix Lake Dam No. \_\_\_\_\_ 33.3

Date of Inspection 8 February 2017



The upstream face looking towards the spillway entrance (indicated), above. A closer look at the spillway entrance, and the polyethylene log boom protecting the entrance, is shown below.



Phoenix Lake Name of Dam Dam No.

Date of Inspection

8 February 2017

33.3



View towards the right downstream groin, above, and towards the left downstream groin and spillway, below. Emerging bushes scattered throughout the downstream face should be removed later in the season.



Name of Dam Phoenix Lake Dam No. 33.3

Date of Inspection 8 February 2017



Flow through the concrete spillway channel appears normal, above.



A small rock fall has occurred within the lower left abutment just below the concrete spillway channel outfall (circled); the rock fall did no damage to the lower spillway channel.